Texas Must Establish Independent Oversight of its Prison System  
For Greater Public Trust, Accountability, Efficiency, and Transparency

**Benefits of External Oversight of Correctional Facilities**

As the largest prison system in the country, the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) is faced with the daunting task of effectively and efficiently managing an immense operation. Decades of research and experience have demonstrated that all public institutions, from schools to hospitals, benefit from independent, external oversight.¹

Strong oversight has several critical functions. It protects the rights and well-being of incarcerated individuals, who have limited ways to defend their interests,² and who frequently suffer from mental illness or addiction. It ensures that correctional facility staff has safe and sanitary working environments. And it is a proven mechanism for identifying and addressing issues before they lead to expensive litigation, media scandals, or other human and fiscal costs.³

TDCJ’s own philosophy is to be “open, ethical, and accountable” to the public. We want this intention to become fully realized. Given that taxpayers spend more than $3 billion per year on corrections, they deserve the accountability and transparency that come with real oversight. If Texas’ county jails are subject to external supervision, why should the state get a free pass?

**Key Findings**

- TDCJ is massive: It employs as many paid employees as Google and spends over $3 billion annually.⁴
- TDCJ has a variety of internal accountability mechanisms, including the offender grievance process, the Ombudsman office (which handles inquiries from the public), and the Office of the Inspector General (which conducts investigations and policy monitoring). However, these mechanisms cannot and do not serve the same role or offer the same benefits as external oversight, which can successfully introduce accountability and transparency.⁵
- Other Texas agencies have external oversight. The Office of the Independent Ombudsman for the Texas Juvenile Justice Department was established in 2007 to investigate, evaluate, and secure the rights of children committed to the Department.⁶ By contrast, the TDCJ Ombudsman is not independent, and its role fails to protect the rights of adults incarcerated in Texas prisons.
- In 1973, the Ruiz vs. Estelle case determined that Texas prison conditions violated inmates’ constitutional rights to protection from cruel and unusual punishment. The case resulted in court oversight of TDCJ facilities that lasted until 2002, exposing many problems with Texas prison operations that had traditionally remained hidden – including prison overcrowding, excessive use of force, substandard health care, and serious safety, sanitation, and hygiene concerns.⁷
- Since 2002, reports of inhumane conditions have continued to emerge, including a report detailed appalling conditions for individuals with mental health problems incarcerated in Texas prisons,⁸ accounts of constitutionally inadequate health care,⁹ and a report showing that Texas prisons have the highest reported number of alleged incidents of sexual assault (four times the national average).¹⁰

Solution offered on reverse.
COST-SAVING AND PUBLIC SAFETY-DRIVEN SOLUTION: SUPPORT H.B. 877 BY REPRESENTATIVE ALLEN

• H.B. 877 will develop an independent body, called the Texas Criminal Justice Department Oversight Committee, tasked with comprehensive oversight of all TDCJ correctional facilities. Through regular inspections of at least 25 facilities per biennium, this Committee will help determine long-range facility and system needs; identify critical issues and corresponding solutions; and assist in the evaluation and assessment of the efficacy of existing programs. This will result in a more efficient use of tax dollars, and will decrease waste.

• H.B. 877 will require the Committee to compile a comprehensive report for submission to the Texas Board of Criminal Justice every six months, and for submission to the Legislature every biennium, outlining the results of facility inspections, including an evaluation of the inmate grievance procedure at each inspected facility, and providing any recommendations concerning policy changes or other strategies that could improve the conditions or operations of Texas’ correctional facilities.

This reporting requirement will enable TDCJ to better pinpoint issues before they become future problems, helping agency administrators find more cost-efficient ways to fulfill the agency’s mission, provide rehabilitative services to incarcerated individuals, and protect the public.

• H.B. 877 will ensure that the Committee receives full and open input from incarcerated individuals and facility staff. It does not allow TDCJ to restrict a person from speaking to the Committee, nor does it allow punitive action against any person who does choose to speak with the Committee.

• H.B. 877 will increase the frequency and opportunity for public input on important criminal justices to TDCJ, allowing the agency to further its stated mission, resulting in improved public safety.
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