Guadalupe County
Juvenile Justice Data Sheet

Under SB 653, the Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD) has been made responsible for partnering with “local county governments, the courts, and communities to promote public safety,” as well as for “producing positive outcomes for youth, families, and communities.” To ensure these mandates are met, stakeholders must familiarize themselves with the information pertinent to their community.

The information included within this data sheet reflects the most recent juvenile data for Guadalupe County.

County Overview

- Youth Population (age 10 to 16): 11,221
- Youth Referred to TJJD: 391
- Youth Referred with Past Traumatic Experience: 177 (45%)
- Youth Referred with Diagnosed Mental Illness: 47 (12%)
- Youth Referred but Not Adjudicated: 319
- Youth Securely Detained Pre-Adjudication: 218
- Average Days from Referral to Disposition: 60
- Youth Adjudicated to Probation: 60
- Youth Adjudicated to Secure Placement: 8
- Youth Committed to State Secure Facilities: 5
- Youth Certified as Adults: 0

Investment in Community Programming

Reducing the number of youth adjudicated to residential facilities can only be achieved if stakeholders strongly invest in “a consistent, county-based continuum of effective interventions, supports, and services.” The programs delineated below are the current services within Guadalupe County that can be utilized as alternatives to incarceration.

Grant C Funded Programs:

- Commitment Reduction Program

Total Funding Allocated for Grant C programs in Guadalupe County: $72,000
Grant X\(^9\) and U\(^{10}\) Funded Programs:\(^{11}\)
- Counseling Services for Juveniles and Family
- Mental Health Assessments

Total Funding Allocated for Grant U \& X programs in Guadalupe County: $40,390

Additional Programs Run by the County Juvenile Probation Department:
- Bloom
- Community Services
- D.R.E.A.M
- Electronic Monitoring
- Foundations
- Girl’s Circle
- Intensive Supervision
- Why Try

Contracted Programs Provided by the County Juvenile Probation Department:
- Drug Court
- Personal Development (Marijuana Seminars)
- Personal Development (Theft Seminars)
- Personal Development (Truancy Seminars)
- Southwest Keys – Community Connections
- Victim Impact Panel

Additional Youth-Based Programs Provided within the Community:
- Connections Individual and Family Services
- Texas AgriLife Extension Service
- Workforce Solutions
- YMCA

County-Level Juvenile Facilities\(^12\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guadalupe County Juvenile Detention Center(^13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost per day per youth(^{14})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual number of youth in seclusions(^{15})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual number of physical restraints used on youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual number of injuries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Information

If you have any questions regarding the data provided in this data sheet, please contact Jennifer Carreon at (512) 441-8123, ext. 103, or by email at jcarreon@TexasCJC.org.
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