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Cost-Saving & Public Safety-Driven Strategies for Texas’ Criminal and Juvenile Justice Systems

Every candidate running for legislative office in Texas must know about the critical issues impacting public safety and our state budget.

The Texas Criminal Justice Coalition seeks to provide you with the key facts you need to know to answer voter questions on criminal and juvenile justice – an issue that represents a sizeable portion of the state budget, and that impacts the public safety of communities across Texas.

Please read through this booklet so you can have the facts and solutions necessary to address the needs of your community.
Good News for Texans

When Texas’ Legislature has prioritized smart-on-crime strategies, the state has experienced positive change. For instance, due in part to investments in responsible alternatives to incarceration – like probation and treatment – Texas has seen an 18% drop in the crime rate between 2003 and 2010.¹ We have also saved nearly $2 billion since 2007 through less need for incarceration.² These policies have put Texas on the right road, making us a model for other states seeking ways to safely address high prison populations. We must continue our commitment to smart strategies through wise investments.

Bad News for Texans

Some lawmakers continue to favor Texas’ historical “lock ‘em up” approach, even in the face of the progress we have made with effective alternatives to incarceration. During past legislative sessions, these individuals have made shortsighted decisions to cut funding for probation, treatment, and parole. Texas cannot afford to undermine the improvements that are making communities safer and keeping more money in taxpayer wallets. When given the choice, our legislators must advance smart, responsible policies over misguided policies that fail our families and communities.
Youth in the Justice System

- Texas has 6 state secure facilities for youth. At the end of 2011, almost 1,300 young Texans were confined in these facilities. With each youth costing the state $360/day, the total comes to $460,800 daily. Approximately 40% of new arrivals in FY 2011 were incarcerated for a nonviolent offense.

- Texas has 96 county juvenile facilities, including 50 pre-adjudication and 33 post-adjudication facilities, as well as 2 holdover and 11 non-secure facilities. Approximately 5,900 youth were sent to county juvenile facilities following adjudication in 2011 (costing the state $54/youth/day).

- Approximately 11,600 youth were adjudicated to probation but not placed in facilities in 2011 (a costs of $5-13/youth/day).

- Approximately 1,000 youth left state facilities on parole in 2011, at a cost of $23/youth/day.

- 180 youth (ages 14-18) were certified as adults in 2011; approximately 30% were certified for a nonviolent offense.

Defense, Pretrial & County Jails

- Altogether, Texas counties pay approximately 85% of the costs to provide indigent defense services to local defendants in need, while the state pays the remaining 15% – a heavy burden. Texas is one of only 18 states that requires counties to provide the majority of funding for such services; on the other hand, 32 states’ public defense systems are either 100% state-funded (26 states) or majority state-funded (6 states).

- On average, urban counties spend approximately 14% of their budgets on jails; rural counties spend roughly 11%.

- Texas has 241 county jails and 5 for-profit privately operated detention facilities.

- More than half of the men and women in Texas’ county jails have not been convicted of their offense. These 35,000 individuals are still awaiting trial. At an average cost of $63 per person per day, our current system is costing taxpayers more than $2 million daily.

Probation & Other Diversions

- Texas has 121 adult probation departments.

- Nearly 420,000 men and women are on probation (also called “community supervision”) in Texas, with almost 275,000 on direct supervision (requiring face-to-face contact with a probation officer). 83% of these individuals are on direct supervision for a nonviolent offense. The average cost to the state to supervise a probationer is $1.56 per day. This is far cheaper and more effective than a prison bed ($51/day) or jail bed ($59/day).

- Just 9% of the state’s annual $3 billion corrections budget goes towards probation and other diversions from incarceration that are more effective and less expensive.
Prisons and State Jails

- Texas has 94 prison units.30
- Texas has 20 state jail facilities, which largely house people with drug and property offenses.31
- Approximately 155,000 men and women are in Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) facilities, with more than 140,000 in prison units.32 Of the entire TDCJ population, 48.5% are incarcerated for nonviolent offenses,33 costing taxpayers almost $4 million per day.34
- Of those in TDCJ facilities, approximately 12,000 are in state jail.35 Housing people in state jails costs the state $43 per bed per day,36 or $515,000 daily for all individuals housed there.

Reentry and Parole

- Approximately 70,000 men and women are released from TDCJ annually,37 while hundreds of thousands return from local jails.38
- The parole system oversees the individuals who are supervised in the community after being released from confinement. On average, parole costs the state $3.74 per person per day,39 vs. $51/day for a prison bed.40
- Only 6% of the state’s annual $3 billion corrections budget goes towards the parole system and the Board of Pardons and Paroles.41
- A criminal record greatly limits a person’s access to jobs, housing, services, etc.

Many issues impact public safety in Texas. In the remainder of this booklet, we have provided you with brief background information on six key juvenile and criminal justice issues, along with specific recommendations that will help you respond to the needs of voters. Together, we can put in place a more efficient and balanced justice system that will protect taxpayers’ money, boost public safety, and allow us to put savings towards the unmet needs of Texans.
Background & Key Facts

In 2007, following the exposure of abuse, neglect, and violence in Texas youth correctional facilities, the Legislature passed sweeping legislation to increase funding for locally based rehabilitative programs, ensure that misdemeanants are handled locally, and make other improvements to the juvenile justice process.

In 2011, largely as a cost-savings measure, the Legislature merged Texas’ youth corrections and probation agencies into the new Texas Juvenile Justice Department (TJJD), which has also been tasked with prioritizing the use of community- and family-based programs over the commitment of youth to secure facilities. In mid-June, three juvenile facilities were shuttered, while two others were consolidated, leaving six state secure facilities throughout Texas. As the transition process continues, we must consider whether TJJD is making an appropriate culture shift to fully engage in needed improvements, whether youth are being better rehabilitated under the new framework, and whether additional funding at the state and county levels is necessary for more effective strategies.

Cost-Saving & Public Safety-Driven Policy Recommendations

- Texas needs real community-based programs and services for youth that work and that are alternatives to more costly incarceration – both for youths’ well being, as well as for long-term taxpayer savings – through adequate funding for grant allocations, technical assistance, and accountability standards to help counties develop and implement a rehabilitative infrastructure for youth.

- We must ensure that programming and service delivery within both state- and county-level facilities effectively address the needs of youth suffering from trauma, mental health problems, and/or substance abuse, including by encouraging family participation in youth treatment plans.

- Texas prisons are no place for youth. If necessary at all, only the most dangerous offenses should result in youth being sentenced to adult corrections facilities, and only after each child has attempted rehabilitation through the juvenile system.

- To prevent further abuse or neglect, the state should strengthen funding allocations for the Office of the Independent Ombudsman for TJJD for expanded oversight authority. Currently, the Office is operating with a $450,000 budget for the biennium – with allocations from the state comprising .05% of TJJD’s entire budget – which it uses to monitor the rights and well being of youth committed to secure state facilities, and review local probation department data on complaints made by youth. The Office should have broadened oversight over all youth, including the thousands of children confined in local facilities annually, and those housed in adult facilities.
Background & Key Facts

Right now, more than half of the men and women in our county jails have not been convicted of their offense. These 35,000 individuals are still awaiting trial. Many cannot afford the bond that would allow them to return to the community prior to trial; others are not given that option by judges, despite presenting little flight risk or posing no danger to public safety. And many defendants do not have an attorney to help them during this pretrial stage. Ultimately, county taxpayers end up footing the bill for these individuals to sit in jail. At an average cost of $63 per person per day, our current system is costing taxpayers more than $2 million daily.

Further, many counties do not have fully operational pretrial services divisions, where practitioners screen defendants, then recommend to judges whether each defendant should be released on bond before trial, or detained until trial. As a result, judges are forced to make that decision without any supporting information, leaving some individuals in jail unnecessarily, where they cannot work or help to support their families. Meanwhile, wealthier, often higher-risk, defendants are released with few obstacles.

Cost-Saving & Public Safety-Driven Policy Recommendations

- Texas needs well-funded pretrial services divisions, and we must encourage judges to adhere to pretrial practitioners’ recommendations for release or detention. Ultimately, the bond decision should be based on each individual’s risk of flight or recidivism, not based only on the offense allegedly committed, or money for bond.

- Texas should allow judges to use partial cash bonds for defendants unable to pay the full amount. Jails should house the dangerous, not the indigent.

- The state should require counsel to be present when bond is set.

- Texas needs an expansion of public defender’s offices, whose attorneys can assist defendants during the pretrial process, significantly reducing the number of days between an individual’s arrest and trial.

- We must fully fund the Texas Indigent Defense Commission, which provides grants and much-needed technical assistance to counties on issues related to defense systems and models.
**Background & Key Facts**

Judges have the option of sentencing certain individuals to probation instead of prison or jail. In Texas, nearly 420,000 men and women are on adult probation, each at an average cost of $1.56 to the state per day.\(^4^9\) This is far less expensive than a prison bed ($51/day\(^5^0\)) or jail bed ($59/day\(^5^1\)). Not only does an effective probation system result in taxpayer savings, **probation is proven to be more effective than incarceration at lowering rates of re-offending** – especially when paired with rehabilitative programming\(^5^2\) – thus **boosting public safety** in the long term, and **reducing the likelihood of more victims**.

However, only 9% of the state’s annual $3 billion corrections budget goes towards probation and other diversions from incarceration,\(^5^3\) a severe imbalance with far-reaching fiscal and public safety repercussions.

**Cost-Saving & Public Safety-Driven Policy Recommendations**

- Texas must continue to support probation departments by providing them with **technical assistance** to fully implement evidence-based practices, **program funding** to further increase public safety in Texas communities, and **resources** to help them cover their basic operational costs, like high health insurance expenses.

- Our decision-makers must also ensure that the **Community Justice Assistance Division** (which administers probation in Texas) has the tools to monitor and assist in the effective implementation of public safety strategies used by probation departments throughout Texas.

- The state should help Texas’ 121 probation departments fully implement plans to safely reduce the number of individuals who fail their probation terms and are sent to prison, through **funding for collaborative strategies** with local stakeholders.

- Texas should authorize judges to offer **incentives to defendants to encourage them to accept a probation term** (with accompanying rehabilitative programming) rather than choosing a shorter term of incarceration, for long-term financial and public safety benefits for our communities.
Background & Key Facts

Diversions from incarceration include probation (discussed in detail on page 8), deferred adjudication (a probation-like term which, if successfully completed, leads to dismissal of the charges), treatment programs, and any combination thereof.

Diversions are critical to keeping prison and jail populations manageable and keeping communities strong with real treatment and solutions, rather than continuing to push people through the revolving door of prison. Texas currently has more than 150,000 men and women in prisons and state jails,54 and approximately 65,000 in county jails at any given time.55 Nearly 420,000 individuals are on probation56 – but state funding for probation and other diversions keeps falling, with 85% of corrections dollars instead going toward incarceration, operations, and administration.57 This disparity, along with the state’s over-criminalization of low-level offenses (like drug possession), has ensured that nearly half of Texas’ adult prisons and state jails are filled with nonviolent individuals,58 costing taxpayers nearly $4 million per day to house.59

Cost-Saving & Public Safety-Driven Policy Recommendations

- The state should strengthen investments in locally-based supervision and treatment options to help system leadership reduce the intake of nonviolent individuals into confinement through safe alternatives.

- Texas needs revised sentencing recommendations for drug offenses so those suffering from substance abuse can avoid felony convictions and obtain the treatment they need to become law-abiding, self-sufficient community members.

- The state should allow judges to place certain individuals with a first-time drug possession offense on probation and, based on the findings of an assessment, in treatment, unless the person is a threat to public safety, or deemed not amenable to treatment. Probation with treatment costs the state an average of $11 per person per day,60 while more punitive options like prison ($51/bed/day61) and jail ($59/bed/day62) are less effective in reducing the inclination towards criminal activity.63

- Texas should ensure that staff throughout the criminal justice system – including probation and parole practitioners, as well as corrections staff – have access to adequate and frequent training on substance abuse and mental health issues to better meet the needs of those they supervise.
Background & Key Facts

The vast majority of people who are incarcerated are ultimately released back into our communities. That means that annually, more than 70,000 men and women are released from Texas prisons, while hundreds of thousands return from local jails.

Programs and services in prisons and jails that help people become literate, learn vocational skills, and detoxify are crucial to the reentry process, but their funding continues to be cut. Similarly, programs outside prison/jail walls that assist people who are reentering our communities are critical and in need of greater funding. Without them, existing restrictions and barriers to housing, employment, education, mental health services, and drug treatment will continue to force people back into crime and back into confinement. This means more victims, as well as significant taxpayer expense.

Texas simply cannot afford to put an imbalanced emphasis on locking people up while failing to support these men and women when they return to our communities. They need the tools to live responsibly, or they will struggle to maintain productive, self-sufficient lives, and we will continue to foot the bill when their limited options push them back into criminal behavior.

Cost-Saving & Public Safety-Driven Policy Recommendations

- The state must help to facilitate the successful transition of returning individuals to our communities, including by designating adequate corrections funding for in-prison AND community-based programming and services that work.

- The parole system oversees the men and women who are annually supervised in the community after being released from prison (at average costs of $3.74 per person per day, vs. $51/day for a prison bed). Texas needs a system with adequate program funding, while encouraging wider use of best practices – especially in case management and supervision strategies – to help these individuals safely return to the community, and stay out of costly confinement in the future. As it now stands, only 6% of the state’s annual $3 billion corrections budget goes towards the parole system and the Board of Pardons and Paroles.

- We should take advantage of every opportunity to expand housing and employment options for returning men and women.

- Texas should advance other policy recommendations made by the state’s Reentry Task Force (charged with identifying gaps in services for returning individuals) and by Texas’ leading reentry roundtables.
Background & Key Facts

With ongoing budget shortfalls, cuts in diversion and treatment programming, criminal penalty enhancements (which raise the penalty for existing crimes, rather than addressing the root causes of criminal behavior), and an uninformed emphasis on incarceration versus rehabilitation, prison and jail populations may grow. Some private companies are taking advantage of this opportunity to push for privatization of correctional facilities, with a long-term commitment to keep those facilities filled. These companies have an agenda that is based on their bottom line, not the best policies for Texas communities.

Indeed, evidence shows that privatization leads to little if any real cost savings for communities, while harming facility staff and inmates, and undermining accountability and public oversight. If policy-makers truly want to reduce Texas’ corrections costs, they should develop cost-saving strategies on the front end. We cannot afford to wait until jails and prisons explode, only to defer to the interests of private corporations who prioritize profits over public safety for Texas communities.

Cost-Saving & Public Safety-Driven Policy Recommendations

- Texas must reject any proposals that attempt to give away control of facilities to private corporations.

  Instead, we should focus on reducing the need for costly prison and jail beds, including through the following:

  - Supporting all pretrial, defense, probation, diversion, and treatment recommendations outlined throughout this booklet.
  
  - Mandating trainings for judges and other system practitioners on best sentencing practices and diversion options.
  
  - Making various low-level, nonviolent offenses non-jailable (require fines and community service only), or revising their value ladders (e.g., increase dollar values set in 1993 for theft, mischief, or property offenses in light of inflation).
  
  - Continuing to support the Texas Commission on Jail Standards, which helps counties ensure their jails comply with state standards, and trains staff in efforts to keep jail populations manageable.
Key Contacts

Below we have provided the names and contact information for individuals who head criminal or juvenile justice agencies, departments, or related organizations, or who have expertise in relevant areas.

Mike Griffths, Executive Director, Texas Juvenile Justice Department  
(512) 424-6004; Mike.Griﬃths@tjjd.texas.gov

Debbie Unruh, Chief Ombudsman  
Office of the Independent Ombudsman for the Texas Juvenile Justice Department  
(512) 919-5162; debbie.unruh@tjjd.texas.gov

Jim Bethke, Executive Director, Texas Indigent Defense Commission  
(512) 936-6999; jim.bethke@txcourts.gov

Brandon Wood, Incoming Director, Texas Commission on Jail Standards  
(512) 463-5505; brandon.wood@tcjs.state.tx.us

Carey Welebob, Director, Community Justice Assistance Division  
Texas Department of Criminal Justice  
(512) 305-9300; carey.welebob@TDCJ.state.tx.us

Toby Ross, President, Texas Probation Association  
(817) 556-6110; toby@johnsoncountytx.org

Ronald S. Morgan, Jr., Interim Coordinator, Texas Association of Pretrial Services  
(512) 854-4502; rmorgan@txpretrial.org

Cynthia Humphrey, Executive Director, Texas Association of Substance Abuse Programs  
(830) 792-4541; chumpy@ktc.com

Jeff Baldwin, Chief of Staff, Texas Department of Criminal Justice  
(512) 463-9988; jeﬀ.baldwin@tdcj.state.tx.us

Rissie Owens, Presiding Ofﬁcer, Texas Board of Pardons and Paroles  
(936) 291-2161; rissie.owens@tdcj.state.tx.us

Jeri Houchins, Administrative Director, Austin/Travis County Reentry Roundtable  
(512) 873-4959; jerijeanw@gmail.com

Allen Castro, Ph.D., Bexar County Re-Entry Roundtable [also: Grants Manager, Bexar County Department of Budget & Grant Management]  
(210) 335-0744; acastro@bexar.org

Donald Lee, Executive Director, Texas Conference of Urban Counties  
(512) 476-6174; donlee@cuc.org

Lori Nicholes, Legislative Liaison, Texas Association of Counties  
(512) 478-8753, lauraN@county.org
TCJC Projects and Resources

**PROJECTS**

The Texas Criminal Justice Coalition’s website offers in-depth information on key criminal justice issues, recommendations for improvements to policies and practices, basic facts, TCJC publications, outside resources, and issue experts. At www.TexasCJC.org, you can learn more about our core projects and resources:

- **Solutions for Youth Justice:** Promoting Avenues to Success for Troubled Youth and Their Families
- **Solutions for Pretrial, Defense & Innocence:** Supporting a Strengthened Pretrial System, Improved Indigent Defense Practices, and Fair Court and Conviction Practices
- **Solutions for Safely Reducing Incarceration:** Advancing Proven and Cost-Efficient Strategies that Responsibly Address Texas’ Ineffective Over-Reliance on Incarceration
- **Solutions for Confinement and Reentry:** Advocating for Best Practices in Corrections, and Promoting Tools for Previously Incarcerated Individuals to Live Responsibly and Remain Law-Abiding

**RESOURCES**

- **Texas County Resources**

  This page includes a one-stop, searchable shop for information about county-level programs and services throughout Texas that can assist system-involved individuals, practitioners, policy-makers responding to constituent calls, and others.

  We also offer information on state-based organizations and resources that can assist community members and local practitioners in identifying local services and programs; TCJC publications and features on innovative criminal justice programs/strategies in particular counties; and county data sheets.

- **Public Policy Center**

  This page includes legislative and budget-related information, with policy guides, information on past legislative sessions (policies, testimony, fact sheets), post-session wrap-ups, policy implementation guides, recent interim charges, and the ongoing Sunset review of Texas’ criminal justice agencies.

- **Tools for System-Involved Youth**

  This page includes resources to assist system-involved youth and their loved ones as they navigate through the juvenile justice system.

- **Tools for System-Involved Adults**

  This page includes resources to assist system-involved individuals and their loved ones as they navigate through the criminal justice system.
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